

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 461 411

PS 023 612

AUTHOR Sponder, Barry
TITLE Reformulating Useless Questions for Classroom Instructions.
PUB DATE 1988-00-00.
NOTE 10p.; In: "Teaching and Learning" (Singapore) v13 n2
pp41-49.
PUB TYPE Journal Articles (080) -- Opinion Papers (120)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Classroom Communication; Classroom Environment; *Classroom
Techniques; Comprehension; *Educational Objectives;
Elementary Secondary Education; *Instructional
Effectiveness; *Questioning Techniques; *Student Reaction;
*Teacher Behavior

ABSTRACT

Especially when engaging students in activities on Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives, teachers frequently ask questions that, from an educational standpoint, are useless. The most useless of these questions is, "Do you understand?" because comprehension is not a binary process. Understanding has many phases and levels, and a "yes" or "no" response does little to identify areas of possible confusion. Teachers sometimes ask useless questions because they have only a cursory understanding of the subjects they are teaching. It is important for teachers to ascertain student comprehension. Appropriate ways to do this are to have students: (1) summarize key points of the lesson; (2) give their own examples of the important concepts taught; (3) write down questions during instruction and periodically stop to answer them; and (4) follow an outline of the lesson. Other useless questions include: (1) "Is everyone paying attention?" (2) "Can everyone be quiet?" (3) "Is everyone finished?" and (4) "Can we all pay attention now?" Teachers should find alternative ways to maintain classroom order and to ascertain whether students have completed assignments.
(JW)

Reformulating Useless Questions For Classroom Instruction

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

X This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

□ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

BARRY SPONDER

Introduction

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Asking and answering questions are at the core of the educational process. Questions are essential for stimulating thinking, facilitating instruction, encouraging participation, eliciting facts and for fostering classroom discussion. They are essential for evaluating student progress through a standard curriculum and for providing valuable information about a teacher's instructional performance. For decades educational researchers have studied and documented the effectiveness of classroom questioning strategies (Wittrock, 1986; Kisko & Lyortsuun, 1982; Dillon, 1988). Although questioning is a universal human activity, research confirms that people can develop more effective questioning skills through systematic study, practice and self-assessment (Kisko & Lyortsuun, 1982).

The oral questioning process that takes place during a lesson helps a teacher to judge whether students are ready to progress to new material or if they need additional practice and revision before moving on. **However, there are some questions that are educationally worthless and should not be used during the instructional process!** Teachers routinely ask these **useless questions** in class, often without forethought, disrupting meaningful classroom interaction and causing miscommunication and confusion among students. Useless questions can be spoken at any time but they tend to occur in situations involving many of the cognitive activities identified by Bloom (1956), such as comprehension, application, analysis synthesis and evaluation. Additionally, teachers may ask useless questions to try to establish control when confronted with noisy or errant student behaviour. This article discusses some commonly asked useless questions and provides suggestions for their reformulation or elimination.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Barry
Sponder

The Most Useless Question

What teacher hasn't at one time or another ended a segment of instruction with the question, "Do you understand?" It is often used as rhetorical statement that punctuates one point or another, although teachers frequently ask it when they think they have said something important. The expected response is usually a loud "yes," indicating a belief that most normal students will get the point after a simple explanation. A positive response signals the teacher to continue the lesson while a negative one can lead to an exchange such as the following:

"Do you understand?"

"No."

"What don't you understand?"

"I don't know."

"Was it this . . . ?"

"No."

"Or this . . . ?"

"I don't know."

Unfortunately, some students are unable to identify why they are confused or what they have learned incorrectly. Embarrassed, a student might say nothing or perhaps repeat a word or phrase remembered from the day's lesson. The student's response will often prompt the teacher to back up or to start the explanation again. The teacher may repeat all or part of the lesson in a louder voice or at a slower pace, hoping that this time the students will "understand" what was formerly unclear. This tactic usually proves to be as ineffective as the first go-round, causing the class to answer "yes" to the inevitable "Do you understand NOW?" This spares them, and the teacher, another senseless repetition. A major cause of this problem is the teacher's assumption about what it means to *understand* something!

What Is Understanding?

After surveying many research studies about learners' misconceptions, Nickerson (1985) concludes that understanding

anything is a complex phenomenon that "is not an all-or-nothing affair; rather it varies in degree and is probably never complete (p. 235)." It is apparent that a medical doctor has a much greater understanding of the human body than does the school nurse, although each has the appropriate level of comprehension for their own job. Indeed, labels such as *novice*, *initiate*, *inexperienced*, *beginner*, *apprentice*, *journeyman*, *intermediate*, *master* and *expert* readily demonstrate that there are many levels of understanding for any subject. Because understanding is multi-layered it is often difficult to determine at what level a person comprehends something. Some factors that seem to affect understanding include previous knowledge, situational context, subject-matter knowledge and the ability to use analogies (Nickerson, 1985). Therefore, during classroom discourse, a simple "yes" or "no" answer provides little useful information and the question "Do you understand?" becomes useless.

A Contributing Factor To Useless Questions

Although it is not uncommon for students to correctly answer exam questions based upon incomplete or incorrect concepts, it is often their teachers who are guilty of providing inadequate explanations of complex ideas. For example, during a social studies lesson, a primary school teacher explained to the class that day and night are caused by the earth rotating on its axis while *the sun is fixed and unmoving in space*. After being asked if they understood this explanation the class responded affirmatively. The teacher did not learn until later that *the sun, just as the earth, is in constant motion, and it is only in relation to the earth's motion that sun is fixed*. Consequently, the students' understanding of the concept was limited by the teacher's naive comprehension of the solar system and planetary physics. While this example is not earth-shaking it demonstrates how students can develop misconceptions and patterns of misunderstanding when teachers themselves have only a cursory knowledge of the subjects they teach.

Sadly, it is more difficult to reteach or remediate a poorly learned concept than it is to teach it correctly when it is first introduced. Over time poorly learned concepts are likely to resist

change and interfere with the development of new ideas (Engelmann & Engelmann, 1981; Nickerson, 1985). Therefore it behooves teachers to continually broaden their knowledge base, especially in their secondary or tertiary subjects. Concurrently, they should either rephrase "Do you understand?" or refrain from asking it at all.

Reformulating The Useless Question

Using Bloom's Taxonomy of Cognitive Objectives (1956) to identify a plausible level of response, the following examples demonstrate possible ways to reformulate "Do you understand?"

1. Comprehension

The teacher has explained the official rules for playing field hockey.

Question: Do you understand class?

Answer: Everyone shouts "yes."

Reformulation: What does the rule about high sticking mean when it says . . . ?

2. Application

The teacher has presented information about different modes of transportation in Southeast Asia.

Question: Is that clear?

Answer: Almost everyone shouts "yes." The few who shout "no" aren't heard.

Reformulation: If you were travelling from Singapore to Bangkok which way would be the least expensive?

3. Analysis

The class has just had a lesson about dental hygiene.

Question: Is there anything you don't understand?

Answer: Almost everyone shouts "yes." Students who don't understand stay silent.

Reformulation: What do you think are the major problems in keeping our teeth clean?

4. Synthesis

The teacher presents a social studies lesson about the neighbourhood.

Question: Do you understand this class?

Answer: Almost everyone shouts "yes," even students who don't understand.

Reformulation: What are some of the ways that we can solve our littering problems?

5. Evaluation

In a study of agriculture in Singapore the teacher presented information about the use of the toxic chemical DDT.

Question: Does everybody understand?

Answer: A few students shout "yes." Students who don't understand stay silent.

Reformulation: Why should we or shouldn't we continue to use DDT here?

Additional Ways That Teachers Can Check For Student Understanding

1. Ask one or more students to summarize some of the main points of the lesson.
2. Ask students for examples of important concepts that have been taught.
3. Ask students to write down questions during instruction and stop at regular intervals to answer them.
4. Give students an outline to make sure they are following the lesson and check frequently to see if they are using it.
5. At spaced intervals give students an informal, short, true/false quiz or a crossword puzzle to check their progress.
6. Encourage students to provide their own examples for new concepts.
7. Use frequent writing activities such as bubbling, listing and poetry to elicit student responses.

More Useless Questions

Anytime a teacher addresses a class with *can everyone*, he or she is inviting the majority to shout out an answer that will likely eclipse any minority opinion to the contrary. Teachers often use group questions to gain the class' attention or to establish a starting point for the next segment of instruction. These questions should be avoided because they contribute nothing to good classroom management while often making it more difficult for a teacher to continue the lesson. Some examples of useless management questions, and their possible rephrasings, are as follows:

Question: Is everyone paying attention?

Reformulation: I want to see how many of you are paying attention. Please sit up straight and fold your hands. I like the way that the back row is sitting.

Question: Can everyone be quiet?

Reformulation: NONE. Don't ask if students can be quiet. Develop and use techniques to quiet the class when necessary.

Question: Is everyone finished?

Reformulation: Remember when you are finished you can (do something else). Let me see who is finished. I see that Li's group has finished. I like the way this group has cleaned up.

Question: Can we all pay attention now?

Reformulation: NONE. Don't ask. Develop and use techniques to arouse and maintain student attention. A good well-paced lesson will do more to solve this problem than anything else.

The above questions are meaningless and indicate that the teacher needs to develop a more effective system for classroom management. In every case teachers can either reformulate the question or they use more effective strategies to keep the students engaged and on-task.

Table 1. A summary of some useless questions and their reformulation.

Level of Use	Useless Question and Typical Answer	Possible Reformulation Strategy
Class Management	Question: Is everyone paying attention? Answer: Everyone shouts out "yes," even if they aren't paying attention.	<i>Develop and use techniques for creating classroom discipline. "I'd like to see if all of you are paying attention so please sit up straight and fold your hands. I like the way this group is sitting."</i>
Class Management	Question: Can everyone be quiet? Are you ready to start? Answer: Everyone shouts out "yes."	<i>Develop and use techniques for gaining attention and quieting the class. This is especially important for group work.</i>
Class Management	Question: Can everyone hear me? Answer: Anyone who shouts out "no," will be drowned out by those who shout "yes."	<i>Reformulate the question. "Miss X (at the back), what did I ask you to do?" Then, "Mr. Y (who is a little closer than miss X) Did you hear her? What were the directions again?" Walk around and say the directions from the back or point to written directions.</i>
Class Management	Question: Is everyone finished? Answer: Anyone who shouts out "no," will be drowned out by those who shout "yes."	<i>Reformulate the question. "Let me see how many of you are finished. I will walk around and check your work. or, When you are finished you can"</i>

(Cont'd) Table 1. A summary of some useless questions and their reformulation

Level of Use	Useless Question and Typical Answer	Possible Reformulation Strategy
Comprehension	Question: Do you understand? Answer: Everyone shouts out "yes."	<i>Use questions that include compare, contrast, explain, rephrase, use your own words, interpret, predict, anticipate</i>
Application	Question: Is that clear? Answer: Everyone shouts out "yes."	<i>Use questions that include apply, show how, design, solve demonstrate, choose</i>
Analysis	Question: Anything you don't understand? Answer: Confusion. The class is unsure whether to say "yes" or "no."	<i>Use questions that include analyse, compare, contrast, identify, infer, distinguish</i>
Synthesis	Question: Am I going too fast? Answer: Everyone shouts out "no."	<i>Use questions that include create, design, plan, construct, illustrate, tell, suggest, formulate</i>
Evaluation	Question: Do you understand? Answer: Everyone shouts out "yes."	<i>Use questions that include judge, evaluate, your opinion, choose, determine, decide, assess</i>

Conclusion

Understanding is a complex phenomenon that is multi-faceted and impossible to assess with a simple "Do you understand?" For teachers to gauge student conceptual knowledge they must either reformulate or retire useless questions and develop more purposely and comprehensive questioning strategies. Additionally, when teachers have a limited grasp of the concepts they are teaching they should devote more time to learning more about these subjects. Unless teachers eliminate useless questions from their repertoire they will waste valuable instructional time and promote incomplete or incorrect concept development in their students.

References

- Bloom, B.S. (ed.). (1956). *Taxonomy Of Educational Objectives: The Classification Of Educational Goals, Handbook 1: Cognitive Domain*. New York: David McKay Company Inc.
- Dillon, J.T. (1988) *Questioning and Teaching*. London: Croom Held.
- Engelmann S., and Engelmann, T. (1981). *Give Your Child a Superior Mind*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Kissock, C., and Lyortsuun, P.T. (1982). *A Guide to Questioning: Classroom Practices for Teachers*. London: Macmillan.
- Nickerson, R. (1985). Understanding Understanding. *American Journal of Education*. 93: 201-237.
- Wittrock, M. (ed.), (1986). *Handbook of Research on Teaching*. Third Edition. New York: Macmillan.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION (Class of Documents):

All Publications:	1) Techniques for promoting interdisciplinary education in the classroom 2) Twenty Golden opportunities to Enhance student learning: Use them close them 3) Re-formulating useless questions for classroom instruction
Series (Identify Series):	From: Teaching and Learning (Singapore)
Division/Department Publications (Specify)	Elementary & Early Childhood Education (PS)

Vol. 14 (1)
pp. 11-24
Vol. 15 (2)
pp. 18-24
Vol. 13 (2)
41-49

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources In Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.



Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document



Check here

Permitting
microfiche
(4"x 6" film),
paper copy,
electronic,
and optical media
reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 1

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER
COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 2

or here

Permitting
reproduction
in other than
paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce these documents as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>Barry Spender</i>	Position: <i>Senior Lecturer</i>
Printed Name: <i>Barry Spender</i>	Organization: <i>NANYANG Technological University</i>
Address: <i>Block 92 #11-03 Kisim's Avenue Singapore 2159</i>	Telephone Number: <i>(65) 469-1927</i>
	Date: <i>July 28, 1995</i>

21612
023612
023612
023612
ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of these documents from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not cite a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address: <i>N/A</i>	
Price Per Copy:	Quantity Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name and address of current copyright/reproduction rights holder:	
Name:	
Address: <i>N/A</i>	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

If you are making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, you may return this form (and the document(s) being contributed) to:

ERIC Facility
2440 Research Boulevard, Suite 400
Rockville, Maryland 20850-3238
Telephone: (301) 258-5500